10-12-04

RCE/362741

PTO/SB/30 (08-00)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
or the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

This is a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 of the above-identified application.

## REQUEST

FOR

## CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE) TRANSMITTAL

Subsection (b) of 35 U.S.C. § 132, effective on May 29, 2000, provides for continued examination of an utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995.

See The American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA).

Signature

flection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.			
Application Number	09/738,471		
Filing Date	December 15, 2000		
First Named Inventor	Peter M. Black, et al.		
Group Art Unit	3627		
Examiner Name	Bartuska, Francis John		
Attorney Docket Number	5598/89CIP		

wish to consider filing a continued prosecution application (CPA) under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53 (d) (PTOISBI29) instead of a RCE to be eligible for the patent term adjustment provisions of the AIPA. See Changes to Application Examination and Provisional Application Practice, Final Rule, 65 Fed. Reg. 50092 (Aug. 16, 2000); Interim Rule, 65 Fed. Reg. 14865 (Mar. 20, 2000), 1233 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 47 (Apr. 11, 2000), which established RCE practice.				
a. Previously i. Consid ii. Consid iii. Other b. Enclosed i. Affidat iii. Affidat iii. Inform iv. Other  2. Miscellaneous a. Suspensio a period of b. Other  3. Fees The RCE f a. The Direct Deposit Ad i. RCE fe ii. Extens iii. Other b. Check in the	uired under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114  r submitted der the amendment(s)/reply under 37 C.F.R. § 1 ntered amendment(s) referred to above will be entered). der the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Reply E  differ the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Reply E  differ the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Reply E  differ the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Reply E  differ the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Reply E  differ the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Reply E  differ the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Reply E  differ the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Reply E  differ the argument(s) referred to above will be entered).  If the argument of \$ 1.17(e) is required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 when or is hereby authorized to charge the following for the argument of \$ 2080.00	Brief previously filed on  requested under 37 C.F.R. § 1 reed 3 months; Fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i) the RCE is filed. fees, or credit any overpayment  10/14/2004 CCHAU1 00000067 01 FC:1801	.103(c) for required)	
SIGNATURE OF APPLIGANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT REQUIRED				
Name (Print /Type)	Steven S Rubin	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	43,063	
Signature	to 1 h	Date 10/8/64		
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION				
envelope addressed to: Co Office on:	espondence is being deposited with the United States Pos ommissioner For Patents, Box RCE, Washington, DC 2023			
Name (Print/Type)	∩	, 1.		

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND Fees and Completed Forms to the following address: Assistant Commissioner for Patents Box RCE, Washington, DC 20231.

10/8

Date